DEAKIN UNIVERSITY
ADVICE TO EXAMINERS OF HIGHER DEGREES BY RESEARCH:
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY AND MASTERS DEGREES IN THE
PERFORMING ARTS

Introduction
Higher degrees by research are awarded on satisfactory completion of supervised but independent research which is described in a thesis. Coursework may be included in the requirements for the degree, but this is generally minor and preliminary to the research project.

The Doctor of Philosophy program involves two to four years of full-time study, or the equivalent of part-time study. The Master of Arts program is completed in one to two years of full-time study, or the equivalent of part-time study. The PhD degree is awarded for “a substantial original contribution to knowledge” achieved in two to four years of full-time candidature. It is the outcome of a project treated with a greater depth of scholarship and research than is required for the masters degree or possible in the shorter time allowed.

The Nature of the Thesis
The thesis for the degree of Master of Arts or Doctor of Philosophy in the performing arts may be presented in one of two forms: a conventional written thesis, or a thesis comprising creative work and a supporting written exegesis.

Performance and Exegesis
This form of thesis conveys the outcome of independent research through practice-based inquiry. It incorporates a performance of work or works produced during the course of the degree program, supported by a written exegesis.

The University advises candidates that the thesis should convey clearly the description of the project, how it relates to the field as a whole, how the project was pursued, what techniques were used and how successful they were, and the outcomes of the research. Since a performance cannot always present this information without ambiguity, it is appropriate that a written component is included. This written component is termed the exegesis. It is not examined as a separate work but is considered together with the performance which presents the substantive original discourse of the thesis.

The purpose of the performance is to provide a coherent demonstration that the candidate has achieved the intentions of the research program. The work which is submitted for examination and the presentation format are determined by the candidate in consultation with the supervisor, and approved by the Higher Degrees by Research Committee. Normally it will take the form of a performance in a designated performance space at an appropriate site. Arrangements will be made for examiners to travel to the site.
Candidates may choose to make available to the examiners briefing statements, sketches, and other supporting material which they feel may assist in an understanding and evaluation of the work. The location and performance mode for such work is an individual matter determined by the candidate in consultation with their supervisor. All the elements submitted should be placed in context in the exegesis. The purpose of the exegesis is to elucidate the performance work and place it in a disciplinary context. It may provide guidance to the examiner regarding the sequence of development in the performed work. The exegesis is in no sense a separate exercise in art theoretical discourse, which would be undertaken only in the case of a theoretical thesis as described below.

While the length of the exegesis is not prescribed, it should not normally exceed 12,000 words for a masters degree or 20,000 words for a PhD; shorter exegeses may be appropriate in some cases.

Each examiner will be given the exegesis either at the performance, during the month preceding the performance, or after the performance. Examiners are notified when the exegesis will be made available to them when they are invited to act as examiners. Examiners may take the exegesis away after the performance for reference and return it on completion of the examination.

**Theoretical Thesis**

The theoretical thesis is a written document which conforms in all respects to the norms in the humanities and social sciences. Examiners will be sent a temporarily-bound copy of the written thesis and asked to complete the examination and provide a report within six weeks of its receipt.

**Consultation with University Staff**

The University is concerned that examinations are conducted with proper regard to equity and conflicts of interest, and that no impression to the contrary is given. Examiners may meet with University staff during the examination process, but are asked not to discuss the performance, candidature or assessment of the candidate. The candidate’s supervisors are not excluded from such meetings, but at least one University staff member who is not involved in the supervision process must also be present.

**The Examiner’s Report**

You are asked to complete the formal Examiner’s Recommendation Form with your recommendation on the outcome of the examination, and to provide a written report in English giving the reasons for the recommendation. The report should be complete in itself; annotations in the thesis or exegesis are not a substitute for the written report. Examiners are asked to report independently, but may consult with one another if considered necessary.

Examiners may require the candidate to respond to questions on the thesis or exegesis. If there are matters that require elucidation, please send a list of questions to the Examinations Officer HDR (see below for contact details). The responses will be sent to you to enable you to complete your examination.

Your response is requested by the date given in the letter of appointment. If circumstances arise that prevent you completing the examination by the due date, please contact the Examinations Officer HDR.
The Recommendation Form
Examiners are asked to select one of the five following recommendations.

**Recommendation 1 (the degree be awarded)**
This recommendation is appropriate where the thesis meets the standard required for the degree. This includes cases where there are small style or typographical errors that do not impact on the substance of the thesis, but should be corrected in the Library copy.

**Recommendation 2**
Make this recommendation where the thesis meets the standards required for the degree but some revisions are required that affect the presentation of the thesis rather than the substance; that is changes that can be approved by the Head of School rather than requiring your input. Please identify in your report the changes and corrections that should be made by the candidate. Your report will be used to provide guidance to the candidate in making the changes, and to the Head of School in determining that the changes are satisfactory.

**Recommendation 2.1 (the degree be awarded provided that the textual errors and other minor matters identified in my report are corrected to the satisfaction of the Head of School)**
Make this recommendation where there are minor textual errors or omissions, or minor corrections to passages of text are required.

**Recommendation 2.2 (the degree be awarded provided that the matters identified in my report are addressed to the satisfaction of the Head of School)**
Make this recommendation if substantial changes or corrections are required to improve the presentation of the thesis. This may include rewriting passages of text, addressing minor omissions, and correcting extensive textual and typographical errors.

**Recommendation 3 (the degree not be awarded but the candidate be permitted to revise the thesis in response to the examiners’ reports and present if for re-examination)**
Make this recommendation if the thesis requires substantial revision and must be returned to the examiners for re-examination. Please state clearly in your report the weaknesses and shortcomings of the thesis which led to your recommendation. Your report will be used to provide guidance to the candidate on how to revise the thesis for re-examination. The Regulation directs that, as far as possible, the revised thesis should be examined by the original examiners. When the revision is almost complete we will approach you to ask if you are prepared to act again.

**Recommendations 4.1 and 4.2 (the degree not be awarded but the appropriate degree of master be awarded) (NOT APPLICABLE TO MASTERS DEGREES)**
The PhD degree is awarded for “a substantial original contribution” achieved in two to four years of full-time candidature. It is the outcome of a project treated with a greater depth of scholarship and research than is required for the Masters degree or possible in the shorter time allowed. Recommendation 4 allows an examiner to recommend the
award of a Masters degree for a thesis submitted for a PhD. However in no sense is a Masters degree a “failed PhD”, and this recommendation will only be appropriate in the few cases where the thesis is at the standard of a Masters degree rather than at the doctoral level. A thesis with particular deficiencies rather than simply lacking in depth should receive another response.

If you make one of these recommendations please state clearly in your report the basis for the recommendation. If you make recommendation 4.2 please indicate in your report the minor changes and corrections that should be made by the candidate before the thesis is accepted.

Recommendation 5 (the degree not to be awarded)
If you make recommendation 5, please state clearly in your report the weaknesses and major shortcomings of the thesis which led to your recommendation.

Availability of the report
The examiners reports are given to the candidate at the conclusion of the examination process. In recognising the importance of a visible research community, Deakin University encourages examiners to permit their identity to be disclosed to the candidate; but also respects and abides by the wishes of those who request to remain anonymous.

As a courtesy, a copy of the report for each examiner is sent to the other examiners of the thesis at the end of the examination process.

Communication with the University during the Examination
You are asked to conduct all communication in relation to the examination through:

Examinations Officer HDR
Deakin Research
Deakin University
Geelong Waurn Ponds Campus
Locked Bag 20000
Geelong, VIC 3220
Australia
telephone: 03 5227 3492 [international: +61 3 5227 3492]
facsimile: 03 5227 2175 [international: +61 3 5227 2175]
e-mail: research-examinations@deakin.edu.au

All reports are to be submitted through the online system as a single pdf document.

Return of the Thesis
If you requested a hard copy, please return the thesis to the Examinations Officer HDR at the address given above. It is acceptable to return the thesis by surface mail.

Comments on the Examination process
We welcome constructive comments and advice on our examination processes, and encourage you to provide them to the Examinations Officer HDR or the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research) at the address given above.