Introduction
Higher degrees by research are awarded on satisfactory completion of supervised but independent research which is described in a thesis. The Doctor of Psychology (DPsych) degree is a research degree which includes a number of advanced coursework units comprising one third of the course. It is equivalent in standard but differs from the PhD, with the difference being its orientation to the development of professional practice, rather than primarily as a contribution to academic knowledge and the discipline. There are three streams to the Doctor of Psychology: clinical psychology, health psychology and forensic psychology.
The usual period of candidature for the DPsych is around three years of full-time study, although the rules allow that the degree can be completed in two to four years of full-time study.
Award of the degree is by examination of a thesis. The thesis should comprise a substantial original contribution to knowledge in the field with particular emphasis on contributions to research and practice in the specialist area or professional practice.

The Nature of the Thesis
The thesis is presented as a portfolio of research work (major investigative project and professional research component) that represents the equivalent of two years of full-time study and has significant implications for practice in a specialty area of psychology. The focus of both pieces of research is on the critical analysis and evaluation of complex issues and challenges arising in professional practice or affecting an area of professional practice. You have been appointed as an examiner for the major investigative project part of the thesis.

The major investigative project – conventional thesis
The major investigative project is a report of research undertaken by the candidate in the specialty area designated by the degree: clinical psychology, health psychology or forensic psychology. The project has a major empirical component (one or more studies) and it should include a scholarly review indicating understanding of relevant literature, the aims and significance of the research, the approaches used and methodological issues, and the outcomes of the studies and their application to the professional field. The report on this project should not exceed 50,000 words in length.

The Examiner’s Report
You are asked to complete the formal Examiner’s Recommendation Form with your recommendation on the outcome of the examination and to provide a written report in English giving the reasons for the recommendation. The report should be complete in
itself; annotations in the thesis are not a substitute for the written report. Examiners are
asked to report independently, but may consult with one another if considered
necessary.
Examiners may require the Candidate to respond to questions on the thesis or exegesis.
If there are matters that require elucidation, please send a list of questions to the
Examinations Officer HDR (see below for contact details). The responses will be sent to
you to enable you to complete your examination.
Your report is requested within six weeks of receipt of the thesis. If circumstances arise
that prevent you completing the examination by the due date, please contact the
Examinations Officer HDR.

The Recommendation Form
Examiners are asked to select one of the four following recommendations.

**Recommendation 1** *(the degree be awarded subject to satisfactory completion of the
other components of the program)*
This recommendation is appropriate where the major investigative project report meets
the standard required for the degree.

**Recommendation 2** Make this recommendation where the major investigative project
meets the standards required for the degree but some revisions are required that
affect the presentation of the thesis rather than the substance; that is changes that can
be approved by the Head of School rather than requiring your input. Please identify in
your report the changes and corrections that should be made by the candidate. Your
report will be used to provide guidance to the candidate in making the changes, and to
the Head of School in determining that the changes are satisfactory.

**Recommendation 2.1** *(the degree be awarded, subject to satisfactory completion of
the other components of the program and provided that the textual errors and other
minor matters identified in my report are corrected to the satisfaction of the Head of
School)*
Make this recommendation where there are minor textual errors or omissions, or
minor corrections to passages of text are required.

**Recommendation 2.2** *(the degree be awarded, subject to satisfactory completion of
the other components of the program and provided that the matters identified in my
report are addressed to the satisfaction of the Head of School)*
Make this recommendation if substantial changes or corrections are required to
improve the presentation of the major investigative project. This may include rewriting
passages of text, addressing minor omissions, and correcting extensive textual and
typographical errors.

**Recommendation 3** *(the degree not be awarded but that the candidate be permitted
to revise the major investigative project report in response to the examiners’ reports
and present it for re-examination)*
Make this recommendation if the major investigative project report requires substantial
revision and must be returned to the examiners for re-examination. Please state clearly
in your report the weaknesses and shortcomings of the major investigative project report which led to your recommendation. Your report will be used to provide guidance to the candidate on how to revise the major investigative project report for re-examination.

The Regulation directs that, as far as possible, the revised major investigative project report should be examined by the original examiners. When the revision is almost complete we will approach you to ask if you are prepared to act again.

**Recommendation 4 (the degree not be awarded)**

If you make recommendation 4, please state clearly in your report the weaknesses and major shortcomings of the major investigative project report which led to your recommendation.

**Availability of the report**

The examiners reports are given to the candidate at the conclusion of the examination process. In recognising the importance of a visible research community, Deakin University encourages examiners to permit their identity to be disclosed to the candidate; but also respects and abides by the wishes of those who request to remain anonymous.

As a courtesy, a copy of the report for each examiner is sent to the other examiners of the thesis at the end of the examination process.

**Communication with the University during the Examination**

You are asked to conduct all communication in relation to the examination through:

- Examinations Officer HDR
- Deakin Research
- Deakin University
- Geelong Waurn Ponds Campus
- Locked Bag 20000
- Geelong, VIC 3220
- Australia
- telephone: 03 5227 3492 [international: +61 3 5227 3492]
- facsimile: 03 5227 2175 [international: +61 3 5227 2175]
- e-mail: research-examinations@deakin.edu.au

All reports are to be submitted through the online system as a single pdf document.

**Return of the Thesis**

If you requested a hard copy, please return the thesis to the Examinations Officer HDR at the address given above. It is acceptable to return the thesis by surface mail.

**Comments on the Examination process**

We welcome constructive comments and advice on our examination processes, and encourage you to provide them to the Examinations Officer HDR or the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research) at the address given above.